

# **School Accountability Report Card**

## **School Year 2008-09**

*(Published during 2009-10)*

### **Dr. William L. Cobb Elementary School**

2725 CALIFORNIA ST, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94115

Principal: PAT FORTE

Phone: 415-749-3505

Fax: 415-749-3436

SFUSD School ID #: 525

Calif.School ID #: 6040968

### **SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Superintendent: Carlos Garcia

555 Franklin Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: 415-241-6000

Web Site: [www.sfusd.edu](http://www.sfusd.edu)

---

#### **SARC Contact:**

**Research, Planning and Accountability**

**Ritu Khanna, Executive Director**

Phone: 415-241-6454

Fax: 415-241-6035

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC) which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### **Section I - Data and Access**

DataQuest is an online data tool located at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g. the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and other locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## Section II - About This School

### **School Description and Mission Statement**

This section provides information about the school's goals and programs.

Cobb is a small school with 170 students. There are 9 ELD and 2 Special Day Classes (K-5) (Slingerland/ learning disabled) and full inclusion. Programs include technology, integration of science, math and literacy, gardening, and nutrition; instrumental music, SF Symphony and Ballet Program, resident poets and artists including opera; monthly academic assemblies; student newspaper, service learning, Read-a-thons, Spring Carnival. As educational professionals at Dr. William L. Cobb Elementary School, our mission is to accelerate student achievement by providing an academically rigorous, standards-based, educational experience for a diverse student population. We strive to foster a safe, caring school community. Cobb has a Montessori Child Development Center and an afterschool YMCA program.

### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

In addition to the School Site Council (SSC), which is made up of elected parents and community members, the Parent Teacher Organization (PTO) supports school programs through community events, promoting parent involvement, and fundraising. Our Parent Liaison works with families to support involvement in their children's education, including family picnics, Grandparent's Day, and workshops on Math, Science, Literacy, and more. Our Learning Support Professional, School Nurse and Resource Support Person provide consulting and direct services as well as referrals to other agencies to bridge school, home, and community and ensure the academic excellence of all students. Parents and community members work on newsletters and school committees for art projects, school gardening and nutrition.

### **Student Enrollment By Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)**

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

<b>Grade Level</b>	<b>Enrollment</b>
<b>K</b>	45
<b>1</b>	35
<b>2</b>	22
<b>3</b>	22
<b>4</b>	30
<b>5</b>	27
<b>6</b>	0
<b>7</b>	0
<b>8</b>	0
<b>Ungraded Elem</b>	0

<b>Grade Level</b>	<b>Enrollment</b>
<b>9</b>	0
<b>10</b>	0
<b>11</b>	0
<b>12</b>	0
<b>Ungraded Sec</b>	0
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	181

**Student Enrollment By Group (School Year 2008-09)**

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

<b>Group</b>	<b>Percent of Total Enrollment</b>
<b>African American</b>	59.12
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	1.10
<b>Asian</b>	7.73
<b>Filipino</b>	3.31
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	11.60
<b>Pacific Islander</b>	2.21
<b>White (Not Hispanic)</b>	5.52
<b>Multiple or No Response</b>	9.39
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	85.00
<b>English Learners</b>	12.00
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	30.00

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

<b>Grade Level</b>	<b>Avg Class Size</b>	<b>2006-07</b>			<b>Avg Class Size</b>	<b>2007-08</b>			<b>Avg Class Size</b>	<b>2008-09</b>		
		<b>Number of Classrooms</b>				<b>Number of Classrooms</b>				<b>Number of Classrooms</b>		
		<b>1-20</b>	<b>21-32</b>	<b>33+</b>		<b>1-20</b>	<b>21-32</b>	<b>33+</b>		<b>1-20</b>	<b>21-32</b>	<b>33+</b>
<b>K</b>	12.0	2	0	0	17.0	2	0	0	17.5	2	0	0
<b>1</b>	17.0	2	0	0	12.0	2	0	0	17.5	2	0	0
<b>2</b>	21.0	0	1	0	14.0	1	0	0	20.0	1	0	0
<b>3</b>	19.0	1	0	0	20.0	1	0	0	20.0	1	0	0
<b>4</b>	23.0	0	1	0	21.0	0	1	0	23.0	0	1	0
<b>5</b>	32.0	0	1	0	22.0	0	1	0	22.0	0	1	0
<b>6</b>	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
<b>K-3</b>	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
<b>3-4</b>	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
<b>4-8</b>	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
<b>Other</b>	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

## Section III - School Climate

### **School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)**

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

As an urban school in an earthquake prone area, we have several plans for school safety depending upon the event. Evacuations plans are in place and are practiced for fire, earthquake, and other emergencies. Faculty and staff have designated roles. They are often practiced during these drills. Classified staff and out-of-classroom teachers have key roles. We have emergency provisions and family information on hand and updated regularly.

### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

<b>Rate</b>	<b>School</b>			<b>District</b>		
	<b>2006-07</b>	<b>2007-08</b>	<b>2008-09</b>	<b>2006-07</b>	<b>2007-08</b>	<b>2008-09</b>
<b>Suspensions</b>	6.7	2.4	5.5	5.6	7.5	6.3
<b>Expulsions</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

**Section IV (School Facilities) begins on next page.**

## Section IV - School Facilities

### School Facility Conditions and Improvements

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Dr. William L. Cobb Elementary School was built in 1923; however, it was remodeled fifty-one years later in 1974. Recent improvements include the following: Student's restroom, exterior paint, increased storage area, new internet system upgrade, security gate, ADA accessibility upgrade (wheelchair ramp), heating system upgrade, and student play equipment. The fruit and vegetable garden is maintained and harvested by on-site health nutritionist and students. Planned improvements include the following: Adult restroom remodel and upgrade, broken window replacement, replacement of floor coverings throughout the building, improved lighting throughout building (especially main entrance area).

Cobb will undergo ADA upgrade and renovation planning in 2008-2009.

### School Facility Good Repair Status

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

<i>Item Inspected</i>	<i>Repair Status</i>			<i>Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned</i>
	<i>Good</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Poor</i>	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			2nd Floor West Stairwell – Missing Ceiling Tile. WO
Cleanliness: Overall and Pest Infestation	X			
Electrical:			X	Parking Yard – Flood Lights need timing adjustment; they turn on during the day and not at night. WO Rm 5 – Light Switch in room connected to Hall light, both turn on or off together. Rm 6 – Electric wall plug cover missing by Entrance Door. WO Rm 18 – Ceiling Light Cover loose. WO
Restrooms/Sinks/Fountains:	X			Playground Boy's Toilet – One sink inoperative. WO
Safety: Fire and Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Damage, Roofs	X			
External: School Grounds, Windows, Doors	X			Audi – Stage door by Playground missing door knob & lock mechanism. WO

WO - Work Order.      DM - Deferred Maintenance.

**Overall Summary of School Facility Good Repair Status**

This table displays the overall summary of the results of the most recently completed school site inspection.

<b><i>Item Inspected</i></b>	<b><i>Facility Condition</i></b>			
	<b><i>Exemplary</i></b>	<b><i>Good</i></b>	<b><i>Fair</i></b>	<b><i>Poor</i></b>
<b>Overall Summary</b>			X	
<b>Inspection Date</b>	9/18/2009			

**Section V (Teachers) begins on next page.**

## Section V - Teachers

### **Teacher Credentials**

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found at the CDE Web site at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

<b>Teachers</b>	<b>School</b>			<b>District</b>
	<b>2006-07</b>	<b>2007-08</b>	<b>2008-09</b>	<b>2008-09</b>
<b>With Full Credential</b>	16	13	13	3228
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	0	0	0	73
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	0	0	—

### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>2007-08</b>	<b>2008-09</b>	<b>2009-10</b>
<b>Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>	0	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	0	0	0

### **Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers, 2008-09**

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers at the school, at all schools in the district, at high-poverty schools in the district (75 or more in free/reduced lunch meal program), and at low-poverty schools in the district (25% or less in free/reduced lunch meal program). More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

<b>Location of Classes</b>	<b>Percent of Classes in Core Academic Subjects</b>	
	<b>Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers</b>	<b>Taught by non-NCLB Compliant Teachers</b>
<b>This School</b>	100.0	0.0
<b>All Schools in District</b>	96.5	3.5
<b>High-Poverty Schools in District</b>	97.1	2.9
<b>Low-Poverty Schools in District</b>	98.1	1.9

## Section VI - Support Staff

### **Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)**

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50% of full time. Note: For various reasons, including funding sources and employees serving multiple locations, many of the staff in these positions are listed as working at central administrative offices, and so, will not show up under a particular school's staffing count. If additional information is needed regarding staffing in these particular support roles, please contact the school.

<i>Title</i>	<i>Number of FTE Assigned to School</i>	<i>Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor</i>
<b>Academic Counselor</b>		
<b>Library Media Teacher (Librarian)</b>		----
<b>Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)</b>		----
<b>Psychologist</b>		----
<b>Social Worker</b>		----
<b>Nurse</b>		----
<b>Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist</b>		----
<b>Resource Specialist (non-teaching)</b>		----
<b>Other</b>		----

## Section VII - Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### **Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials**

#### ***For High Schools (grades 9-12)***

The San Francisco Unified School District adopts instructional materials following the cycle of state frameworks and standards, and provides sufficient textbooks for all students to use in class and to take home in the core curriculum areas of reading/language arts, mathematics, science, and history/social studies. Instructional materials were adopted by the SFUSD Board of Education for the 2009-2010 school year and were provided for all high school students enrolled in advanced algebra, economics, environmental science and algebra and trigonometry classes. Class sets of health instructional materials were provided for high school health teachers. In addition, other Board-adopted core curriculum materials were provided to remedy all insufficiencies identified through a survey and other activities at the annual Instructional Materials Hearing at the October 13, 2009 meeting of the Board of Education. All adopted high school instructional materials have been evaluated and determined locally to meet state standards for grades 9-12. Appropriate science laboratory equipment is available for all laboratory science courses.

#### ***For Elementary and Middle Schools (grades K-8)***

The San Francisco Unified School District adopts instructional materials following the cycle of state frameworks and standards, and adopts K-8 materials from the list of standards-aligned materials that have been adopted by the State Board of Education. The district provides sufficient textbooks for all students to use in class and to take home in the core curriculum areas of reading/language arts, mathematics, science, and history/social studies. For 2009-2010, instructional materials were provided for all students in grades K-8. Replacements were provided for core curriculum areas as identified through a survey and other activities preceding the annual Instructional Materials Hearing at the October 13, 2009 meeting of the Board of Education.

For complete lists of adopted textbooks, go to:

[http://portal.sfusd.edu/template/default.cfm?page=chief\\_academic.libserv](http://portal.sfusd.edu/template/default.cfm?page=chief_academic.libserv)

**Section VIII (School Finances) begins on next page.**

## Section VIII - School Finances

### **Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)**

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. The option to report expenditures and salaries for the benefit of all schools in the district equally has been used. Detailed information regarding school expenditures and teacher salaries can be found at the CDE Web site at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

<b>Level</b>	<b>Total Expenditures Per Pupil</b>	<b>Expenditures Per Pupil Supplemental</b>	<b>Expenditures Per Pupil Basic</b>	<b>Average Teacher Salary</b>
<b>School Site</b>	\$5,419	\$1,249	\$4,170	\$59,447
<b>District</b>	----	----	\$4,170	\$59,447
<b>Percent Difference - School Site and District</b>	----	----	0%	0%
<b>State</b>	----	----	\$5,512	\$67,049
<b>Percent Difference - School Site and State</b>	----	----	-24.3%	-11.3%

### **Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)**

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Dr. William L. Cobb is a small school with a yearly average of 170 students. We have 9 general education classrooms with fully credentialed teachers. One is National board Certified. Our school has Comprehensive special education services, including 2 special day classes. Both SDC's offer the Slingerland Multi-Sensory approach to learning. Our school is also provided additional teaching and learning support services by our Instructional Reform Facilitators (IRF), paraprofessionals, SFUSD Teaching and Learning Consultants. Our computer lab has new eMacs and students are able to listen to the district required curriculum Houghton Mifflin. The specialized tutorial services provided by the YMCA Afterschool Program is utilized to enhance learning.

### **Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)**

This table displays district-level salary information for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries can be found at the CDE Web site at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

<b>Category</b>	<b>District Amount</b>	<b>State Average For Districts In Same Category</b>
<b>Beginning Teacher Salary</b>	\$39,195	\$42,065
<b>Mid-Range Teacher Salary</b>	\$60,878	\$67,109
<b>Highest Teacher Salary</b>	\$77,630	\$86,293
<b>Average Principal Salary (Elementary)</b>	\$95,305	\$107,115
<b>Average Principal Salary (Middle)</b>	\$103,348	\$112,279
<b>Average Principal Salary (High)</b>	\$98,115	\$122,532
<b>Superintendent Salary</b>	\$255,000	\$216,356
<b>Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries</b>	33%	39%
<b>Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries</b>	5%	6%

## Section IX - Student Performance

### **Standardized Testing and Reporting Program**

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); The California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 2 through 11; science in grades 5, 8 and 9-11; and history-social science in grades 8 and 10-11. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades 2-11, and for science for grades 5, 8 and 10. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities which prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades 3-8 and science in grade 5 and is an alternative assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR program results for each grade and performance level, including percents of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) results web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>. Program information regarding the STAR program can be found in the Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/starpkt5intrpts.pdf>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

### **STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison**

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

<b>Subject</b>	<b>School</b>			<b>District</b>			<b>State</b>		
	<b>06-07</b>	<b>07-08</b>	<b>08-09</b>	<b>06-07</b>	<b>07-08</b>	<b>08-09</b>	<b>06-07</b>	<b>07-08</b>	<b>08-09</b>
<b>English-Language Arts</b>	30	45	37	49	51	53	43	46	50
<b>Mathematics</b>	47	44	43	49	51	52	40	43	46
<b>Science</b>	38	68	27	45	51	52	38	46	50
<b>History-Social Science</b>	0	0	0	41	42	45	33	36	41

### **Standardized Testing Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year**

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

<b>Group</b>	<b>% of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced</b>			
	<b>English-Language Arts</b>	<b>Mathematics</b>	<b>Science</b>	<b>History-Social Science</b>
<b>African American</b>	32	37	29	
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	*	*		
<b>Asian</b>	*	*	*	
<b>Filipino</b>	*	*		
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	54	62		
<b>Pacific Islander</b>	*	*	*	
<b>White (not Hispanic)</b>				
<b>Male</b>	33	46	36	
<b>Female</b>	40	40	17	
<b>Economically Disadvantaged</b>	43	44	18	
<b>English Learners</b>	33	42	*	
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	21	40	*	
<b>Students Receiving Migrant Education Services</b>				

### **California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2008-09)**

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades 5, 7, and 9 only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found at the CDE web site at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Note: To protect student privacy, scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less.

<b>Grade Level</b>	<b>Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards</b>		
	<b>Four of Six Standards</b>	<b>Five of Six Standards</b>	<b>Six of Six Standards</b>
<b>Grade 5</b>	29.2	41.7	4.2
<b>Grade 7</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Grade 9</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0

**Section X (Accountability) begins on next page.**

## Section X - Accountability

### **Academic Performance Index**

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the CDE Web site at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

### **API Ranks - Three-Year Comparison**

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest 10 percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest 10 percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools". A similar school rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing 10 schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

<b>API Rank</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	2	2	3 *
<b>Similar Schools</b>	6	5	N/A

### **API Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison**

This table displays by student group the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: Results for numerically significant student groups only.

<b>Group</b>	<b>Actual API Change</b>			<b>Growth API Score</b>
	<b>2006-07</b>	<b>2007-08</b>	<b>2008-09</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>All Students at the School</b>	28	28	-30	
<b>African American</b>	25	47	-53	685
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>				
<b>Asian</b>				
<b>Filipino</b>				
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>				
<b>Pacific Islander</b>				
<b>White (not Hispanic)</b>				
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	41	23	-9	699
<b>English Learners</b>				
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>				

**Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- 1) Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics
- 2) Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- 3) API as an additional indicator
- 4) Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Web site at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

**AYP Overall and by Criteria (2008-09)**

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

<b>AYP Criteria</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>District</b>
<b>Overall</b>	No	No
<b>Participation Rate - English-Language Arts</b>	Yes	Yes
<b>Participation Rate - Mathematics</b>	Yes	Yes
<b>Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts</b>	No	No
<b>Percent Proficient - Mathematics</b>	No	No
<b>API</b>	Yes	Yes
<b>Graduation Rate</b>	N/A	Yes

**Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)**

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English-language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the CDE Web site at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

<b>Indicator</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>District</b>
<b>Program Improvement Status</b>	In PI	In PI
<b>First Year of Program Improvement</b>	2008-2009	2006-2007
<b>Year in Program Improvement</b>	Year 2	Year 3
<b>Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement</b>	----	31
<b>Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement</b>	----	27.7 %

## Section XI - School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Not Applicable.

## **Section XII - Instructional Planning and Scheduling**

### **Professional Development**

This section provides information about the program for training the school's teachers and other professional staff.

Professional development is a part of every site plan and a major component of instructional support to departments. The district's professional development program provides opportunities for teachers to implement the district's core curriculum for all students, update subject area expertise, use data to plan instructional improvement strategies and acquire new instructional strategies. Leadership development across content areas is facilitated centrally, and for educators and parents each school continues to provide three full days of professional development during the academic year.

## **Section XIII - National Assessment of Educational Progress**

### **National Assessment of Educational Progress**

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL) is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Web page at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/>.

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades 4, 8 and 12 and for long-term trends assesses grades 9, 13 and 17. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades 4 and 8. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on the NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/nr/>.

**NAEP Results By Grade Level - All Students**

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades 4 and 8.

<b>Subject and Grade Level</b>	<b>Average Scale Score</b>		<b>% at Achievement Level</b>		
	<b>State</b>	<b>National</b>	<b>Basic</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Advanced</b>
<b>Reading 2007, Grade 4</b>	209	220	30	18	5
<b>Reading 2007, Grade 8</b>	251	261	41	20	2
<b>Math 2009, Grade 4</b>	232	239	41	25	5
<b>Math 2009, Grade 8</b>	270	282	36	18	5

**NAEP Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners (ELL) by Grade Level - All Students**

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades 4 and 8.

<b>Subject and Grade Level</b>	<b>State Participation Rate</b>			<b>National Participation Rate</b>		
	<b>Students with Disabilities and ELL</b>	<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	<b>English Language Learners</b>	<b>Students with Disabilities and ELL</b>	<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	<b>English Language Learners</b>
<b>Reading 2007, Grade 4</b>	NA	74	93	NA	65	80
<b>Reading 2007, Grade 8</b>	NA	78	92	NA	66	77
<b>Math 2009, Grade 4</b>	NA	79	96	NA	84	94
<b>Math 2009, Grade 8</b>	NA	85	96	NA	78	92

**End of SARC Document.**